David M. Stein (State Bar # 198256) Michael J. Bowe 1 dstein@olsonstein.com (pro hac vice) mbowe@brownrudnick.com Lauren Tabaksblat OLSON STEIN LLP 2 240 Nice Lane # 301 Newport Beach, CA 92663 Phone: 949.887.4600 3 (pro hac vice) ltabaksblat@brownrudnick.com BROWN RUDNICK LLP
7 Times Square
New York, NY 10036
Phone: 212.209.4800 4 5 212.209.4801 6 Fax: **Attorneys for Plaintiff** 7 8 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 K.A., Case No. 2:24-cv-04786-WLH-ADS 13 14 Plaintiff, JOINT STIPULATION TO REQUEST (A) EXTENSION OF 15 v. **REMAINING BRIEFING** SCHEDULE FOR 16 DEFENDANTS' RESPONSES TO AINTIFF'S SECOND MINDGEEK S.A.R.L. a foreign entity; 17 AMENDED COMPLAINT IN MG FREESITES LTD, a foreign entity; 18 *EITES* ACTION AND (B) MINDGEEK USA INCORPORATED, IMITED COORDINATION a Delaware corporation; MG 19 FOR PURPOSES OF RESPONDING TO PREMIUM LTD, a foreign entity; MG COMPLAINTS IN RELATED 20 GLOBAL ENTERTAINMENT INC., a **CASES** Delaware corporation; 9219-1568 21 QUEBEC, INC., a foreign entity; 22 Judge: Hon. Wesley L. Hsu BERND BERGMAIR, a foreign individual; FERAS ANTOON, a 23 foreign individual; DAVID 24 Complaint filed: June 7, 2024 TASSILLO, a foreign individual; VISA INC., a Delaware corporation; 25 REDWOOD CAPITAL 26 MANAGEMENT, LLC, a Delaware

limited liability company; REDWOOD

27

PURPOSES OF RESPONDING TO COMPLAINTS IN RELATED CASES

Filed 10/18/24 Page 2 of 12 Page ID

Plaintiff Serena Fleites and Plaintiffs in the 14 Related Actions identified below, (collectively, "Plaintiffs") and Defendants MindGeek S.à r.l., MG Freesites Ltd, MindGeek USA Incorporated, MG Premium Ltd, MG Global Entertainment Inc., 9219-1568 Quebec Inc., Visa Inc., Redwood Capital Management, LLC, Colbeck Capital Management, LLC, Bernd Bergmair, Feras Antoon, and David Tassillo (collectively, "Defendants") (collectively with Plaintiff, the "Parties"), by and through their counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, on May 23, 2024, Plaintiff Serena Fleites filed both a Motion to Amend the Caption and a Second Amended Complaint (the "SAC"), which identifies Colbeck and Redwood as new defendants (ECF Nos. 384, 385, *Fleites v. MindGeek S.a.r.l. et al.*, Case No. 21-cv-04920-WLH-ADS) ("*Fleites* Action");

WHEREAS, after filing the SAC, between June 7, 2024 and August 20, 2024, Plaintiff's counsel Brown Rudnick LLP also filed fourteen (14) additional actions against the same Defendants in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, including the above referenced matter, each of which has been designated as "related" and assigned to the Honorable Wesley L. Hsu (the "Related Actions");

- K.A. v. MindGeek S.A.R.L. et al., 24-cv-04786;
- N.L. v. MindGeek S.A.R.L. et al., 24-cv-04788;
- T.C. v. MindGeek S.A.R.L. et al., 24-cv-04795;
- X.N. v. MindGeek S.A.R.L. et al., 24-cv-04800;
- N.Y. v. MindGeek S.A.R.L. et al., 24-cv-04801;
- *L.T. v. MindGeek S.A.R.L. et al.*, 24-cv-04791;
  - J.C. v. MindGeek S.A.R.L. et al., 24-cv-04971;
    - W.L. v. MindGeek S.A.R.L. et al., 24-cv-04977;
    - C.S. v. MindGeek S.A.R.L. et al., 24-cv-04992;
    - S.O. v. MindGeek S.A.R.L. et al., 24-cv-04998;

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	• L.S. v. MindGeek S.A.R.L. et al., 24-cv-05026;		
2	• W.P. v. MindGeek S.A.R.L. et al., 24-cv-05185;		
3	• A.K. v. MindGeek S.A.R.L. et al., 24-cv-05190; and		
4	• J.L. v. MindGeek S.A.R.L., et al., 24-cv-07046.		
5	WHEREAS, on July 24, 2024, the Parties in the Fleites Action filed a Joint		
6	Stipulation Re Briefing Schedule for Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Second		
7	Amended Complaint, which set August 30, 2024 as Defendants' deadline to respond		
8	to the SAC ("July 24 Joint Stipulation") (ECF No. 419, Fleites v. MindGeek S.a.r.l. et		
9	al., Case No. 21-cv-04920-WLH-ADS);		
10	WHEREAS, on August 2, 2024, the Court granted the Parties' July 24 Joint		
11	Stipulation and approved the following briefing schedule relating to the SAC (ECF		
12	No. 424, Fleites v. MindGeek S.a.r.l. et al., Case No. 21-cv-04920-WLH-ADS):		
13	August 30, 2024:	Each Defendant's Deadline to Answer, Move, or	
14		Otherwise Respond to the SAC;	
15	October 15, 2024:	Plaintiff's Deadline to Respond to Each Defendant's	
16		Answer, Motion, or Response (if and as applicable);	
17	November 5, 2024:	Each Defendant's Deadline to File Replies (if and as	
18		applicable);	
19	November 22, 2024:	Proposed Hearing on Each Defendant's Answer,	
20		Motion or Response (if and as applicable);	
21	WHEREAS, in 13 of	the 14 Related Actions, the Court entered an Order	
22	Granting Joint Stipulation Re	Briefing Schedule for Defendants' Motions to Dismiss	
23	that set October 15, 2024 as	s Defendants' deadline to answer, move, or otherwise	
24	respond to each complaint, and set January 10, 2025 as the hearing date for motions		
25	filed in response to each con	inplaint. WHEREAS, the case styled J.L. v. MindGeek	
26	S.à.r.l. et al., Case No. 2:24-cv-07046-WLH-ADS (C.D. Cal. Aug. 20, 2024) (the "J.L.		
27	case") was only recently filed	, so deadlines to respond to the complaint were not set in	

this Order;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

WHEREAS, in all 14 Related Actions, the Court later entered an Order Granting the Parties' October 4, 2024 Joint Stipulation to Request Limited Coordination for Purposes of Responding to Complaints in Related Cases that extended the previous schedule and (a) set October 21, 2024 as Defendants' deadline to answer, move, or otherwise respond to each complaint; (b) in each of the Related Actions, allowed each defense counsel to file a single omnibus motion to dismiss for the parties that they each represent which may incorporate by reference the arguments made in the parties' motions to dismiss filed in the *Fleites* Action; (c) allowed Plaintiffs' counsel to file a single omnibus opposition to the collective motions to dismiss filed by Defendants in the Related Actions, which may incorporate by reference the arguments made by the Parties in the motion to dismiss briefing in the *Fleites* Action; (d) allowed each defense counsel to file one omnibus reply to each motion to dismiss filed in the Related Actions; (e) set January 10, 2025 as the hearing date for the motions filed in each case; (f) increased the page limit for each omnibus motion to dismiss to 50 pages and each omnibus reply to 35 pages; and (g) increased the page limit for Plaintiffs' omnibus opposition to 85 pages.

WHEREAS, on October 7, 2024, the Parties in the *Fleites* Action filed a Joint Stipulation to Request Extension of Remaining Briefing Schedule for Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, which set October 21, 2024 as Plaintiff's deadline to file a single omnibus opposition to the collective motions to dismiss filed by Defendants, increased the page limit for Plaintiff's opposition to 85 pages, and increased the page limit for each Defendant's reply to 20 pages ("October 7 Joint Stipulation") (ECF No. 472, *Fleites v. MindGeek S.a.r.l. et al.*, Case No. 21-cv-04920-WLH-ADS);

WHEREAS, on October 11, 2024, the Court granted the Parties' October 7 Joint Stipulation, and approved the following briefing schedule relating to the SAC (ECF

No. 473, Fleites v. MindGeek S.a.r.l. et al., Case No. 21-cv-04920-WLH-ADS):

October 21, 2024: Plaintiff's Deadline to Respond to Each Defendant's

Answer, Motion, or Response (if and as applicable);

November 8, 2024: Each Defendant's Deadline to File Replies (if and as

applicable);

January 10, 2025: Hearing on Each Defendant's Answer, Motion or

Response (if and as applicable);

WHEREAS, given the length and complexity of the SAC in the *Fleites* Action and the complaints in the Related Actions, and the number of motions to dismiss, and in the interest of judicial economy, efficient case management, conservation of resources of the over 30 parties involved in the Related Actions, and coordination with the briefing schedules in the *Fleites* Action and the Related Actions, the Parties believe good cause exists to extend the current briefing schedule and (a) in the *Fleites* Action, set October 30, 2024 as the deadline for Plaintiff to file a single omnibus opposition responding to the motions to dismiss filed by Defendants; (b) in the *Fleites* Action, set December 6, 2024 as the deadline for each Defendant to file a reply; (c) in the Related Actions, set October 30, 2024 as the deadline for each of the Defendants to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the complaints; (d) in the Related Actions, set December 12, 2024 as the deadline for Plaintiffs to file a single omnibus opposition responding to the motions to dismiss filed by Defendants; and (e) in the Related Actions, set January 8, 2025 as the deadline for each Defendant to file an omnibus reply;

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that, subject to the approval of the Court, there is good cause to modify the briefing schedules previously agreed to in the October 4 Joint Stipulation to Request Limited Coordination for Purposes of Responding to Complaints in Related Cases filed in the Related Actions and the October 7 Joint Stipulation in the *Fleites* Action, to allow the Court additional time to consider this

1	stipulation, the Plaintiff to prepare her omnibus opposition, and the Defendants to		
2	prepare their replies:		
3	Fleites Action		
4	October 30, 2024:	Plaintiff's Deadline to Respond to Each Defendant's	
5		Answer, Motion, or Response (if and as applicable);	
6	<b>December 6, 2024:</b>	Each Defendant's Deadline to File Replies (if and as	
7		applicable);	
8	January 31, 2025:	Proposed Hearing on Each Defendant's Answer,	
9		Motion or Response (if and as applicable);	
10	Related Actions		
11	October 30, 2024:	Each Defendant's Deadline to Answer, Move, or	
12		Otherwise Respond to the Complaints (if and as	
13		applicable);	
14	<b>December 12, 2024:</b>	Plaintiff's Deadline to Respond to Each Defendant's	
15		Answer, Motion, or Response (if and as applicable);	
16	January 8, 2025:	Each Defendant's Deadline to File Replies (if and as	
17		applicable);	
18	January 31, 2025:	Proposed Hearing on Each Defendant's Answer,	
19		Motion or Response (if and as applicable);	
20			
21	WHEREAS, the foregoing opposition deadline in the Fleites Action mirrors the		
22	deadline for the Defendants to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the complaints		
23	in each of the Related Actions.		
24	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that Defendants preserve all		
25	objections and defenses to the SAC and to each complaint in the 14 Related Actions,		
26	including any objection or defense on the ground of lack of personal jurisdiction.		
27	Accordingly, the Parties respectfully request that this Court set the remaining		
28		5	

Document 55

Filed 10/18/24 Page 8 of 12 Page ID

Case 2:24-cv-04786-WLH-ADS

1	DATED:	October 18, 2024	MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY
2			AND POPEO P.C.
3			Dry /a/Estaban Manalas Esbila
4			By: /s/ Esteban Morales Fabila Esteban Morales Fabila
5			Peter A. Biagetti
6			Attorneys for Defendants MindGeek S.à.r.l.,
7			MG Freesites Ltd, MindGeek USA Incorporated, MG Premium Ltd, MG
8			Global Entertainment Inc., and 9219-1568
9			Quebec Inc.
10			
11	DATED:	October 18, 2024	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
12			
13			By: /s/ Drew Tulumello Drew Tulumello
14			Attorneys for Defendant Visa Inc.
15			
16			
17	DATED:	October 18, 2024	MORVILLO ABRAMOWITZ GRAND IASON & ANELLO PC
18			
19			By: /s/ Jonathan S. Sack
20			Jonathan S. Sack
21			Attorneys for Defendant David Tassillo
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		IOINT STIDI II ATION T	7 O REOLIEST (A) EXTENSION OF REMAINING

JOINT STIPULATION TO REQUEST (A) EXTENSION OF REMAINING BRIEFING SCHEDULE IN *FLEITES* ACTION AND (B) LIMITED COORDINATION FOR PURPOSES OF RESPONDING TO COMPLAINTS IN RELATED CASES

PURPOSES OF RESPONDING TO COMPLAINTS IN RELATED CASES

## **ATTESTATION STATEMENT**

I, Lauren Tabaksblat, the filer of this declaration, attest pursuant to Rule 5-4.3.4(a)(2) of the Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Central District of California that all other signatories listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have authorized the filing.

Dated: October 18, 2024 BROWN RUDNICK LLP

/s/ Lauren Tabaksblat

Lauren Tabaksblat

Attorney for Plaintiff Serena Fleites

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I, the undersigned counsel of record for Plaintiff Serena Fleites, certify that the foregoing instrument was served pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on October 18, 2024 upon all counsel of record via ECF. Dated: October 18, 2024 **BROWN RUDNICK LLP** /s/ Lauren Tabaksblat Lauren Tabaksblat Attorney for Plaintiff Serena Fleites